UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,084	02/27/2004	Robert W. Turner	02-0813	7534
74576 HUGH P. GOR	7590 09/29/200 .TLER	EXAMINER		
23 Arrivo Drive		ABDI, AMARA		
Mission Viejo, CA 92692			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,084	TURNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amara Abdi	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 / 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 30-42 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-29 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02/27/2004 is/are: a) The drawing(s)	vn from consideration. or election requirement.	, the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/789,084 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/23/2008 has been entered.

Applicant's response to the last office action, filed August 23rd, 2008 has been entered and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 30-36, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhao et al. (US 6,973,384).

(1) Regarding claims 30 and 41:

Zhao et al. teach a method and system of providing an information service (col. 2, line 36), comprising:

using a web site to receive a customer request for information (col. 11, lines 33-35) about a specified change at a specific geographical location over a specified period of time (Fig. 21, col. 4, lines 14-16, and col. 23, lines 53-67);

accessing correlated, real-time images of the specified geographical location (col. 7, lines 55-61), (the correlated real-time images is read as any of two images taken at the same location over specific period of time, because they are correlated inherently), the images captured over the specified time period by at least one remote data sensing source (12 in Fig. 1, col. 7, lines 55-57);

processing the correlated images with an algorithm (col. 7, lines 51-54) to extract the information (col. 7, lines 21-22 and lines 55-61) about the specified change at the specified geographical location over the specified time period (col. 4, lines 14-16); and

delivering the extracted information to a customer (col. 24, lines 1-4).

(2) Regarding claim 31:

Zhao et al. teach the method of claim 30, wherein accessing the real-time images (col. 14, lines 42-46), processing the correlated images (col. 7, lines 51-54), and delivering the extracted images (col. 24, lines 1-4) are performed automatically (col. 24, lines 1-2).

(3) Regarding claim 32:

Zhao et al. teach the method of claim 30, wherein the extracted information (col. 7, lines 21-22) is delivered via the internet (col. 17, lines 50-51).

(4) Regarding claim 35:

Zhao et al. teach the method of claim 30, wherein the real-time images are requested from more than one satellite (col. 19, lines 35-37).

(5) Regarding claim 36:

Zhao et al. teach the method of claim 30, wherein the extracted information (col. 7, lines 21-22) is supplemental with the information from non-remote sensing source (col. 7, lines 26-31).

(6) Regarding claim 42:

Zhao et al. teach an information system comprising a server system for providing a network-based interface (col. 17, lines 47-51) that prompts a customer to request information (col. 11, lines 33-35) about a specified change at a specified geographical location over a specified period of time (Fig. 21, col. 4, lines 14-16, and col. 23, lines 53-67); accessing correlated, real-time images of the specified geographical location (col. 7, lines 55-61), (the correlated real-time images is read as any of two images taken at the same location over specific period of time, because they are correlated inherently), the images captured over the specified time period by at least one remote data sensing source (12 in Fig. 1, col. 7, lines 55-57); and processing the correlated images with an algorithm (col. 7, lines 51-54) to extract the information (col. 7, lines 21-22 and lines 55-

61) about the specified change at the specified geographical location over the specified time period (col. 4, lines 14-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al. (US 6,973,384) in view of Kenner et al. (US-PGPUB 2002/0143950).

Zhao et al. teach the parental claim 30. However, Zhao et al. do not teach explicitly the method, wherein the algorithm is searched locally, and if not found, is requested from a third party.

Kenner et al. teach the method, wherein the algorithm is searched locally (64 in Fig. 4, paragraph [0025], lines 1-11), and if not found, is requested from a third party (70 in Fig. 4, paragraph [0029]).

It is desirable to have an arrangement which allows software notes posted at a content provider site to be accessed by a content recipient. The Kenner et al. approach, where downloading the software, if the display software is not available is to achieve this goal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to apply the Kenner et al. teaching, where downloading the

software, if the display software is not available with the Zhao et al. teaching, because such combination, allows software notes posted at a content provider site to be accessed by a content recipient (paragraph [0001], lines 1-3).

Page 6

7. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al. (US 6,973,384) in view of Gosdin et al. (US 6,995,686).

Zhao et al. teach the parental claim 30. However, Zhao et al. do not teach explicitly the method, wherein the algorithm extracts information about climate at the specified geographical location.

Gosdin et al. teach a method for disseminating weather products, where the algorithm extracts information about climate at the specified geographical location (col. 4, lines 22-43).

It is desirable to deliver certain of the weather products at a refresh rate of six to ten minutes, especially the weather products that include radar imagery data, since this information is very time-perishable. The Gosdin et al. approach of extracting the information about the weather at the specified geographical location is to achieve this goal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to apply the Gosdin et al. teaching, where extracting information about climate at the specified geographical location with the Zhao et al. system, because such combination delivers certain of the weather products at a refresh rate of six to ten minutes, especially the weather products that include radar imagery data, since this information is very time-perishable (col. 2, lines 64-67).

8. Claims 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al. (US 6,973,384) in view of Beck et al. (US 7,031,927).

Zhao et al. teach the parental claim 30. However, Zhao et al. do not teach explicitly the extracting of information about changes in commodities and agricultural data at the specified geographical location.

Beck et al. teach a system and method for management forecasting, where extracting information about changes in commodities and agricultural data at the specified geographical location (col. 6, lines 5-6), (the terrestrial vegetation is read as the commodities and agricultural data).

It is desirable for using a past and future weather analysis in the process of projecting future reservoir problems to determine, not only one or more solutions for each problem, but also the timely implementation of each solution. The Beck et al. approach of extracting information about changes in commodities and agricultural data at the specified geographical location is to achieve this goal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to apply the Beck et al. teaching of extracting information about changes in commodities and agricultural data at the specified geographical location with the Zhao et al. system, because such combination will use the past and future weather analysis in the process of projecting future reservoir problems to determine, not only one or more solutions for each problem, but also the timely implementation of each solution. Terrestrial vegetation, as it relates to weather conditions, are indicators of reservoir water conditions (col. 2, lines 46-56).

9. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al. (US 6,973,384) in view of Blumberg et al. (US-PGPUB 2004/0110515).

Zhao et al. teach the parental claim 30. However, Zhao et al. do not teach explicitly the extracting of information about changes in security data at the specified geographical location.

Blumberg et al. teach a system and method for providing information based on geographic position, where extracting of information about changes in security data at the specified geographical location (paragraph [0055], lines 5-6, and paragraph [0083]).

It is desirable for a person to appreciate the full extent of their surrounding and objects or events that are located at some position relative to their surrounding. The Blumberg et al. approach of providing information based on geographic position is to achieve this goal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to apply the Blumberg et al. teaching of providing information about changes in security data at the specified geographical location with the Zhao et al. system, because such combination make it possible for a person to appreciate the full extent of their surrounding and objects or events that are located at some position relative to their surrounding (paragraph [0016], lines 3-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,084

Art Unit: 2624

Contact Information:

Page 9

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amara Abdi whose telephone number is (571)270-1670.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 Am to 4:00 PM

E.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

/supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Amara Abdi/

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Wenpeng Chen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624